
Save The Hillgrove Cats

now

STOP HUNTINGDON ANIMAL CRUELTY

Newsletter 1 10,000 Copies Printed 0121 632 6460

STOP HUNTINGDON ANIMAL CRUELTY, PO BOX 381, CHELTENHAM, GLOS GL50 1YN
Tel. 0121 632 6460 e-mail: info@shac.u-net.com www.welcome.to/shac



THE STORY SO FAR...

Huntingdon Life Sciences (HLS) was formed in 1951 by Professor Alistair Worden. They have two laboratories in the UK, the largest of which is Huntingdon Research Centre (HRC), Woolley Road, Alconbury, Cambridgeshire. Tel: 01480 892000. (Pictured below)



The other laboratory is the Eye Research Centre, Occold, Eye, Suffolk.(Below)



They also own a site in America called the Princeton Research Centre, New Jersey, USA.

For many years HLS managed to avoid the glare of publicity, but in 1989 that changed forever. The BUAV undertook an investigation headed by Sarah Kite that lifted the lid on HLS for the first time (the undercover investigations are dealt with in more detail elsewhere in the newsletter). HLS's response was at first silence then an attempt to rubbish the well-documented investigation. HLS weathered the storm and again their animal abusing future looked rosy. They rumbled on, getting larger. Then in March 1997 Channel Four screened a programme in the "Countryside Undercover" series called "It's a Dog's Life". This programme threw HLS's fortune into steep decline, as well as their share price. This film had one vital difference from the previous investigation. Hidden cameras were used that were invisible to the naked eye. For the first time the world could graphically see and hear the animal suffering inside HLS's labs. No ifs, no buts, they were caught red-handed.

Two HRC workers were subsequently arrested and charged under the 1911 Protection of Animals Act. Their sentence? A laughable 60 hours community service. The director at the time, Christopher Cliffe, said he couldn't understand why Mash and Waters (the two technicians charged) had pleaded guilty !

Overnight HLS went from a profit-making company to a heavy loss making one and their share price went into free fall - going from well over £1-20 to at one point 9p, yes 9p. As this is written now, two and a half years after "Its a Dog's Life" was shown, HLS's share price stands at a pathetic 13.5p.

Just when it looked like things couldn't get any worse for HLS, in June 1997 Peta (People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals) released undercover video tapes shot inside HLS's lab in America detailing wholesale animal abuse.


Companies really began to desert HLS and what was at first a trickle now became a flood. Outside HRC's gates was a protest camp that cost the company £250,000 in legal fees and Sheriff's costs to remove. HLS was truly being attacked from within by undercover footage and by demonstrators on the outside. Christopher Cliffe showed total arrogance and insisted that the demonstrations and the clear evidence of animal cruelty were of no relevance, a side issue. This was not washing with their customers and Cliffe was looking more and more out of touch. By August 1998 HLS was in real trouble and an extraordinary general meeting was called for September 2nd 1998.



This beagle is so frightened she was totally rigid with fear.

This meeting was a farce and the shareholders were faced with a simple choice: liquidation of HLS or accept the "rescue" package put together by Andrew Baker who led a consortium of backers from America. Also 177 million new shares were issued raising some £20 million.

The point that is most important to grasp here is that Baker could not raise enough to keep HLS afloat. The sole reason why HLS are still in business today is that the NATWEST BANK agreed to give HLS credit totalling £24.5 million and they put this on a permanent footing until August 31st 2000. This is why NatWest will be one of the biggest targets of the campaign. They cynically invested in animal cruelty even



after seeing "It's a Dogs Life." They will come to learn that there is a price to pay for involvement with animal torture.
The EGM saw big changes in the boardroom, seeing Christopher Cliffe ousted and all directors bar Roger Pinnington replaced. Andrew Baker became the new Chief Executive with Brian Cass the new Managing Director (see Director's Profile). If HLS thought all these changes would shake off their past they were to be sorely disappointed. After viewing the "rescue package." Barclays Global Investors (the investment management arm of Barclays Bank) immediately sold their stake in HLS plunging them into even more crisis. At the time HLS owned a fourth lab near Wilmslow, Cheshire which contained a specialist Primate Unit. Because of HLS's dire financial situation this lab was closed with the loss of over 100 jobs (shame) and the sale of the site, which is still empty. Worse was yet to come as HLS was, and still is, losing millions. On Monday November 30th 1998 HLS announced more job losses with 100 jobs going at HRC and a further 50 jobs going at the Occold site. The present workforce over the three remaining labs is about 1,300-1,400 people, with staff paranoia and job uncertainty running rampant. Recently in October 1999 HLS announced they are to cease dog experiments at their Occold site and as stated earlier their share price remains rooted in the teens. It is indisputable that if HLS were now left untouched over 5 years they would probably turn the company around and make HLS profitable. This is where we come in. We will not allow them to recover. HLS are holed below the water line. We have them in our sights. With the screaming of the Beagles from "It's a Dog's Life" still ringing in our ears, we have locked onto HLS and there is no letting go. They are finished. If it takes 3 months or 3 years we will stay with it through thick and thin. Huntingdon Life Sciences are finished. End of story.



WHY HLS?

There are 70,000 animals waiting to die at Huntingdon Research Centre so there are 70,000 good reasons for a start.

After Hillgrove Farm closed on August 13th 1999 and the Hillgrove cats were released to the RSPCA to be homed, we knew our job was done, thanks to a tremendous combined effort of all the supporters of Save the Hillgrove Cats.

It was time to start thinking about the next campaign. We successfully paid off all our debts, thanks to you, and started to raise funds for this campaign. We thought long and hard before we finally made the decision to target Huntingdon Life Sciences.

Firstly HLS are in a weak position financially. They have never really recovered from Channel Four's exposé "Countryside Undercover - It's a Dog's Life." The programme showed harrowing undercover footage of the barbaric treatment of Beagle puppies. HLS's share price is still at a pathetic 13.5p and they are £24.5 million in debt to the NatWest Bank.

HLS has been exposed by three undercover investigations. Each investigation told the SAME story - routine, vicious abuse of the animals and the routine breaches of the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 (and even that offers the animals virtually no protection).

Surprise, surprise, after each investigation the animals are STILL suffering violence and torture at the hands of HLS staff. Nothing changes for laboratory animals until we step in and FORCE a change by closing these places down.

As a result of these investigations we have hours of shocking video evidence and lots of photos to use as ammunition against HLS

There is already a campaign against HLS - The Huntingdon Death Sciences Campaign (HDSC). They have successfully organised national demos, a protest camp, a campaign against NatWest Bank, leafleted "whistle blower cards" door-to-door and caused chaos at HLS shareholder meetings. All this has caused HLS severe problems and headaches as well as keeping HLS in the news.

We will join forces with HDSC and work together becoming a much stronger force to be reckoned with. This could be a long battle and we hope you will stick with it just as you did with Consort and Hillgrove. As before all of the literature and stickers etc. concerning HLS and the NatWest Bank are free. We also rely on donations which are essential to our survival.

Together we can end the nightmare of the animals imprisoned at HLS. They live their lives in fear, not knowing they have any friends.

But WE are here for them and WE will fight for them with all our strength and WE WILL WIN !

"I felt sickened with the knowledge of what was to become of them. They would soon be having noxious chemicals and drugs forced into their small bodies which in a short time would cause them to become ill with diarrhoea and sickness. It was incredible to believe how anyone could harm such gentle trusting animals.

I watched as these tiny bundles of pent-up energy threw themselves around their small, bare cells, bored and frustrated. On opening the cage door they would tumble out and hurl themselves up and down the room. As one beagle was released, others would catch sight of it darting around and start barking excitedly waiting for their turn. After just a couple of minutes of frantic activity, they would struggle in my arms as I picked them up to return them to their cages. Once back in they would throw themselves at the cage door as I closed it behind them.

After having worked in the Unit for just a short while I soon discovered that this excitable behaviour shown by the puppies, the darting around and refusing to return to their cages does not last long in most of the dogs. In just a few weeks, those that still ventured out of their cages, and many would not, would meekly return offering no resistance; their spirit broken."

Extract from
"SECRET SUFFERING - Inside a British Laboratory"
by Sarah Kite

ACTION AGAINST NATWEST



If the NatWest had not decided to give HLS credit facilities of £24 .5 million HLS would have been forced into liquidation - pure and simple. How dare a High Street bank use their customer's money to prop up the most obscene of places, knowing full well that 99% of their customers would be horrified. This is where you come in. It is a job for all of us to inform everyone out there of NatWest's involvement with HLS. Here are a few good steps to make NatWest pay for investing in animal torture.

1. Organise a demo outside you local NatWest. There are 1,700 branches nationwide, so everyone has a branch near them. Here are a few ideas:

- Street Theatre, ie. rabbit, dog costume and vivisector in a lab coat with "NatWest Tortures Beagles" on it.
- Megaphones are ideal for drawing in crowds to see what's happening (the last thing NatWest want). You can get small ones for £25 or larger ones for £70 upwards (look in Yellow Pages under sound systems). These are an investment as they can make a demo with 2-3 people seem like 20+. It is good to read out experiments and continually use phrases like "Did you know NatWest Bank invest in animal torture."
- Visuals - You must be very visual with placards, banners and especially posters of animals undergoing experiments, which we can supply.
- Always take a healthy stack of leaflets with you and please remember to be nice to the NatWest customers, talk to them and explain to them why you are there because NatWest customers will know nothing about HLS and may well take a leaflet home, read it and then close their account a few days later. NatWest customers are potentially a huge asset to the campaign.
- Go into the bank and hold your demo actually inside the bank for as long as possible. This may mean the bank has to close.



The pictures above show some of the many demos that have already taken place at NatWest Banks across the country.

2. Stickers - The campaign has 100,000 (for starters) phone box stickers about NatWest and HLS. So get stickerin' ! These are also excellent for stickering all over NatWest cash machines.

3. Freephone - How convenient that all the NatWest telephone numbers are free. The main one to concentrate on is **0800 505050**. Two other good ones are **0800 004400** which is manned 24 hours and **0800 200 400** which is for booking financial appointments. You can give a false name and address or maybe you could genuinely book one then pull out explaining that a friend told you about HLS and you are cancelling your appointment out of disgust.

The other freephone numbers are: (All prefixed by 0800)

777 888	**592 934**	**243 406**
815 000	**331 119**	**331 133**
400 999	**886 688**	**255 200**
783 567	**818 818**	**922 229**
783 5657	**331 101**	**281 292**
854 581		

Most of these will be an answer machine. The tapes cut out after two minutes. Blocks of phone boxes are excellent for this as you can go from one to another ringing the number and leaving the phone off the hook, AND IT DOESN'T COST YOU A PENNY!

Please remember if the NatWest pull out on 31st August 2000 then HLS are in big big trouble. An action against NatWest is a direct action against HLS.

•Friday November 26th - London Day of Action against NatWest (meet 11am, Liverpool St Station, London-Bishopsgate exit) For details ring 01223 476 596. On the day ring 0171 278 3068

•Saturday November 27th - National Day of Action against NatWest - this is the day you should demonstrate at your local NatWest branch from 9am onwards


GOING UNDERCOVER

Over the last 10 years HLS has been infiltrated then exposed three times. This, for the first time, gives us a wealth of documents, photos and video footage showing just what goes on inside HLS...

INVESTIGATION ONE

In 1981 Sarah Kite (B.U.A.V.) worked undercover in Huntingdon Research Centre (HRC) for eight months. She started work in the Rodent Toxicology Unit. She could see at first hand what made these people tick. Sarah wrote: "I soon discovered we were not allowed to call blood, blood, we had to call it red staining. Similarly, the killing of an animal at the end of an experiment is described as a sacrifice." Sarah also wrote of the then Chief Animal Technician, Anthony Ellis: "Ellis was an unpleasant man who over exaggerated his affection towards the animals (when showing round potential workers). One moment he spoke about dying dogs and the next he was showering them with affection as though he had no responsibility for the fact that they became ill."

Sarah described two experiments whilst in the Rodent Toxicology Unit. One was for the food colouring Canthaxanthin, which was used in colouring the flesh of salmon and trout and also used in sun tanning pills. This experiment was carried out for the pharmaceutical company Hoffman La Roche. "Effects included lethargy, hair standing on end, swollen necks and abdomens. Those given high doses had orange fur and tails, with brick red faeces and urine." The substance was considered so harmful that the staff cleaning out the animal's pens had to wear oxygen suits. Canthaxanthin was banned at the beginning of December 1988 by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food (MAFF) yet HRC were still happily poisoning animals with it six months later.



Rats crammed into a small cage and forced to inhale toxic chemicals.

She described another experiment for the tranquilliser Fiucto Trazepam which was a two year chronic toxicity study. Clinical reports recorded: "rats having fits after dosing for up to one minute" and "cages 85, 95 and 100 had large amounts of dark red blood on their trays." The animal's condition was recorded as "gross and ill with greasy wet fur standing on end." One technician described the animals in this room as: "rotting but still alive." After six months undercover Sarah Kite was transferred to the Dog Toxicology Unit. The work she endured in this unit was so harrowing that she could only stand it for 8 weeks. In that time she saw beagles poisoned with pesticides, dental hygiene products, drugs and food wrapping film. In one test (pictured below), 48 Beagles had their backs shaved then an anti-psoriatic cream applied everyday for 30 days. This resulted in open sores and blisters on the dogs backs. The dogs had their bodies bound in tight sticky plaster and they had to wear large head collars to stop them pulling at the plasters as they were in pain (as pictured on the front cover). The beagle pictured was just about to have more cream rubbed into the sores. There is already a whole raft of anti-psoriatic creams on the market. This was no new cure but simply another drug company looking to cash in on a lucrative market. In fact an HLS report from 1972 admitted that "there is a great variation in the skin irritancy response of mice, guinea pigs, piglets, dogs and baboons." MORE POINTLESS ANIMAL RESEARCH FOR PROFIT.





Sarah told how "Staff were encouraged not to spend time with the Beagles because it lost time. Time and speed were the essence I was told." Also "Staff were told not to touch the animals because it was 'a waste of time.' It was said the animals became 'spoilt' and did not do what they were told." Sarah went on to tell "Whilst cleaning out the cages, I regularly found blood, vomit and diarrhoea on the floor. Many dogs were very ill. They were extremely thin with their fur standing on end. They were visibly shaking and often so scared they were unable to leave their cages."

Sarah could take no more and left, but not before she had gathered enough evidence that would rock HLS to their foundations as well as gathering a lot of newspaper coverage.



During their brief glimpse of life these puppies experienced only loneliness, frustration, discomfort and pain.

INVESTIGATION TWO

In 1996 Channel Four commissioned Small World Productions to produce a film for their "Countryside Undercover" series. The programme was called "It's a Dog's Life." The person who went undercover was Zoe Broughton. The main difference this time was that Zoe was fitted with a hidden camera. What she caught on camera was so shocking it very nearly sunk HLS overnight. The film showed workers at HRC punching and shaking four month old beagle puppies, puppies so frightened they wet themselves, and experiments being faked.




In her diary Zoe wrote: "The technician shaves the dog's neck and then plunges the needle in. She continues bleeding afterwards - I get blood on my arm and I see the other dogs look and know what is coming. Some grip the floor cringing and a couple try to dart past me and escape."
For more information about Zoe Broughton's diary please turn to the centre pages. Scenes from the footage she shot are on the new campaign video.
What was HLS's response to "It's a Dog's Life"? They said: "The company is confident that all staff involved in the safety and welfare of animals are caring and undertake their duties with responsibility and with sensitivity."
The probability of Zoe just chancing to be in the only part of HLS where animal cruelty was taking place has got to be nil. Animal suffering is Huntingdon Life Sciences and Huntingdon Life Sciences is animal suffering. Pure and simple.



The two pictures above show puppies at HRC enduring a life of misery and fear.

INVESTIGATION THREE

In June 1997 Peta (People for the Ethical Treatment of Animals) released a videotape shot by a Peta member undercover inside HLS's lab in America. To say the video is shocking would be a gross understatement. In one scene you can clearly see a monkey being cut open during a necropsy (an animal equivalent of an autopsy) whilst still alive. In another scene a researcher yells at a frightened monkey: "Calm down before I bite your face!"
On another tape, technicians yell and scream as a monkey is strapped down. One says sarcastically: "I'm sure the sponsor will love that." A second technician comments "bring up their heart rates just a little bit more." Whilst a third says: "You can wipe your ass on that data."
In another incident a technician holds a monkey in mid-air as he administers a tuberculin test material into the animals eyelid. Asked by the undercover investigator if he can do it this way, the vivisector replied: "Nope, not supposed to, never saw it, never did it, can't prove it."



The video tapes are a catalogue of abuse, half the time the so called "experiments" are not even being done as they should be. Again what was HLS's response to this exposé? Even after Michelle Rokke (Peta investigator) had uncovered papers that showed HLS were due to conduct an experiment for the Japanese company, Yamanouchi in which 37 Beagle puppies would have a leg bone sawn then snapped with a steel wire, Alan Staple president of HLS in America stated "We are innocent" and went on to state that he felt "violated" by Peta's exposé. After all the animal suffering shown, *he* felt violated! Incredible!
Meanwhile Christopher Cliffe - the over-all head of HLS - said from Huntingdon Research Centre, Cambridge that the tapes were "misleading, scandalous rubbish." He insisted the behaviour of the staff was nothing more than "tomfoolery."
So there you have it. After ten years of



high profile and shocking exposés that is all they can say. The evidence against HLS is overwhelming. It is there in words, black and white and colour photos and most of all it is there in over 70 hours of undercover video footage detailing the most graphic scenes of animal cruelty ever seen. Two words sum up HLS simply, truthfully and powerfully - PURE EVIL !

FRIENDS OF HLS?

This following list contains drug companies who are either known to still trade with HLS or else have offered an inconclusive response to enquiries on their relationship with HLS.

Please write to as many as you can - addressed to the chief executive. Ask them if they are happy dealing with a company who have been investigated three times in the last ten years and on each occasion wholesale animal cruelty has been recorded. Please pass onto the campaign any replies received.

Astra Charnwood,
Bakewell Road, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE11 5RH
Glaxo Research & Development,
891-995, Greenford Road, Greenford, Middlesex, UB6 0HE
Nova Nordijk,
Broadfield Park, Pease Cottage, Crawley, West Sussex RH11 9RT
Novartis UK Ltd,
Calverley Lane, Horsforth, Leeds, LS18 4RP
Schering Plough,
One Giralda Farms, Madison, New Jersey, 079401000, USA
SmithKline Beecham PLC,
5B House, Great West Road, Brentford, TW8 9BD
Unilever PLC,
Unilever House, Blackfriars, London EC4P 4BQ
Zeneca Group PLC,
15 Stanhope Gate, London W1Y 6LN

A SICK JOKE!

Throughout the Hillgrove Campaign we consistently had to endure half truths and downright lies from the Home Office. This time it will be a lot more difficult for the Home Office to lie (although they will still try) because we have so much more evidence of life inside HLS. This evidence points clearly and squarely to what we have always said - The Home Office Inspectors are a sick joke.
Firstly lets look at "It's a Dog's Life"

FACT • The Home Office guidelines state that the care and welfare of lab animals should "be carried out by a competent person." It was Zoe's first day ever dealing with lab animals so how could she be competent (she admits herself that she didn't feel competent).

FACT • The Home 0ffice guidelines state "Dogs should have access to adequate exercise areas." How can 20 minutes running in the corridor (on weekends and Bank Holidays they do not even get this. They are locked in their cells 24 hours), with no outside runs (HLS's dog unit is underground) be "adequate exercise area."

FACT • The guidelines also state that "There is always the need to have regular human contact." Yet you can see on "It's a Dog's Life" this is nonsense. Also let us not forget the quote from Sarah Kite during her investigation "Staff were told not to touch the animals because it is a waste of time." The two hardly tally.

FACT • The Codes of Practice state "Bedding and nesting material should be provided." In both investigations at Huntingdon Research Centre (HRC) there was no bedding and nesting material provided, just one pitiful spadeful of sawdust. Zoe Broughton was told that by giving the dogs two spadefuls she was "giving them too much."
This was brought to the attention of The Home Office 10 years ago and we are still waiting for action.

I could write here at length about the appaling cruelty meted out to the beagles on "It's a Dog's Life" and how they broke every rule in the book, but I won't give The Home Office the chance to dismiss them as one-offs. Instead I have and will deal with things that are company policy. After "It's a Dog's Life" was screened, The Home Office gave HLS a 16 point plan that they would have to implement to avoid having their licence revoked (as if). It is a most laughable document indeed.

FACT • Point 7b states "only trained and competent staff are entrusted with the care and welfare of animals" yet straight after "It's a Dog's Life", where workers had been filmed punching Beagles, HLS's response to Channel Four was: "The company takes great care to ensure the training, the supervision and the regular assessment of those staff responsible for animal safety and welfare." If HLS thought their procedure was fine even after seeing "It's a Dog's Life", then why should they see fit to change?

FACT • Point 11 states: "A suitable Named Person for the dog facility is nominated." Pardon, what exactly is "a suitable Named Person"? Not that we will ever be able to find out to check or challenge whether the person was suitable because, let us not forget that any dealings a lab has with the Home Office is deemed "confidential" under the 1986 Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act so we, the general public or our MPs can never find out anything about it.

FACT • Point 16 states "The applicant details any other measures which have or will be taken to provide environmental enrichment and a better quality of life for animals." To our knowledge the only thing HLS have done is to put a tatty shelf in the dog pens. This hardly constitutes "environmental enrichment."

FACT • After "It's a Dog's Life", Philip Brenner from The Home Office stated: "The Government is satisfied that any alleged mistreatment of animals would not have taken place in front of inspectors." Then what is the point of having inspectors in the first place?

The most striking point of all is that whilst Zoe Broughton was filming "It's a Dog's Life", Home Office inspectors came twice. During both these visits they never set foot inside the beagle kennels or set eyes or hands on one single dog. In fact on one visit the inspector said: "Oh, there's nothing for us here," then he proceeded to talk to the vivisectors about their personal pictures and cards on the wall. It is worth noting that on both occasions the staff at HRC knew that the inspectors were coming in advance. Not "surprise visits" as they would like to kid us.
Since 1985 HRC has been inspected over 30 times yet they never once picked up on the wholesale animal terror that was, and still is, going on at Huntingdon. To say they are useless would be paying them a compliment. They are nothing but a sick joke.

PROFILE OF DIRECTORS

Name: Brian Cass (Managing Director)
D.O.B.: [redacted]
Last known address: Personal details removed
Personal details removed

Cass is as evil as they come. `He was the Managing Director of Covance Labs, Harrogate for 12 years. Covance is another huge vivisection lab. While he worked at Covance there was an undercover investigation there in 1992 showing unbelievable animal suffering. It was Cass who tried to rubbish the investigation and excuse the cruelty. Now the Managing Director of HLS he will go to any lengths to defend animal suffering.
Cass worked at HLS from 1972-74. Cass is also a Director of Mill Quay Residents Association (St. Ives) Ltd. and Mill Quay Moorings (St. Ives) Ltd., 31 Warwick Square, London, SW1V 2AF

THIS MAN MUST BE FOUND

Name: Andrew Baker (Chief Executive)
D.O.B. [redacted]
Address: Personal details removed

Baker is the man behind the rescue package for HLS at the end of 1998. Baker moves his dealings with HLS under the vehicle of a company called Focused Healthcare Partnership (FHP). Baker is also a director of Unilabs, 27 Hartley St, London W1N 1DA Tel:020 7908 7000 (go on give 'em a call). Baker was also the boss of Hazleton (now called Covance) labs.

Name: Professor John Cadwell (Director)
D.O.B.: [redacted]
Last known addres Personal details removed
Personal details removed

Caldwell is a vivisector himself and has his fingers in many animal-abusing pies. This man is dirty with a capital D.

THIS MAN MUST BE FOUND

Name: Susan Gaynor Hide (Director/Secretary)
Address: Personal details removed

Hide has been the company secretary at HLS for many years.

Name: Frank William Bonner (Head of all research departments)
D.O.B. [redacted]
Last known address: Personal details removed
Personal details removed

Bonner is the man who oversees the suffering. Surprise, surprise, he is also the current chairman and a director of the British Toxicology Society, 20/22 Queensberry Place, London SW7 2D2

THIS MAN MUST BE FOUND


Name: Julian Torquil Griffiths (Finance Director)
D.O.B [redacted]
Address Personal details removed
Personal details removed

Yet another person from Covance vivisection labs, where he was vice-president of finance for 9 years.

Name: Roger Adrian Pinnington (Director)
D.O.B.: [redacted]
Address: Personal details removed

Pinnington is the only remaining director left from before the changeover of directors in September 1998

As you can see there are three directors who we think we only have the last known address for.
If you have access to follow on bills for B.T. or any of the mobile phone companies for any of the three directors. Likewise if you or a relative/friend works in the tax or National Insurance and know their present addresses then just simply send them to the campaign ANONYMOUSLY



Two Macaques awaiting research at Covance, where Cass, Baker and Griffiths all worked before moving to HLS.



ENVIRONMENT

Zoe Broughton spent 10 weeks secretly filmin experiments in an animal testing laboratory. She was shocked by what she discovered

Where bloo runs cold

EVER since I was young I used to wonder what went on behind the high barbed wire of the huge animal testing laboratory down the road. I'm not opposed to animal testing if it's done to advance medical science, and if in the process the animals are kept well and treated compassionately. I decided to apply for one of the lab's many vacancies advertised in the local paper, to see for myself.

A few days later I got an interview for a job as an animal technician. The pay was about £120 for a five-and-a-half-day week. I made myself sound keen and stressed that I had experience of working with animals. They checked my name to see if it appeared on any animal campaign lists. Before I'd come to terms with what I was about to involve myself in I was working in one of Britain's largest animal testing laboratories.

Day 1 I don't know what to expect, not even which department I will get sent to or how I will respond to seeing animals in pain. To fit in, I make up a false past. I can hardly reveal I am a film-maker. But I am worried that I may say something that might blow my cover.

I am assigned to the dog toxicology unit. I've always had pet dogs, but as we enter the building the noise and smell hits me. I cannot stop my face showing the shock. I notice immediately that the little puppies are keen to play, whereas the older dogs are wary of human touch. Some stay at the back of their cages and don't even move when I give them their food. My job is to look after a room of 32 puppies. On the first afternoon I am asked to check the health of my dogs. I am shown how to do it, but trying to check teeth and paws on a wriggling little beagle puppy seems almost impossible. Later I read the Home Office Guidelines and it states that it has to be done by a competent person — how can I be competent on my first day at work? All the dogs had their own distinctive characters and I was shocked to find out that they would all be put down. By the end of the day I was mentally and physically exhausted.

Day 4 The hardest job is putting the young puppies away after their one hour of exercise in the small concrete corridor between the two rows of cages. They paw at me with their shitty feet; I pick one up, read the number tattooed in its ear and walk the length of the room to find its cage; all the while trying not to tread on paws and slip in the fresh shit. It's repulsive and by the end of each day my lab clothes have turned from white to brown.

'I spent last weekend deciding whether to smuggle out my favourite puppy'

Day 8 I have to help take blood samples. They call it "doing a bleed". I bring the first dog out and sit her on a chair beside me, holding both front paws in one hand and holding the chin up with the other. The animal technician shaves the dog's neck and then plunges the needle in. She continues bleeding afterwards — I get blood on my arm and I see the other dogs look and know what is was coming. Some grip the floor cringing and a couple try to dart past me and escape. Often the technicians can't find a vein. I count one needle being put in three times and once under the skin prod in different directions 15 times before finding a vein. I feel pretty queasy.

Day 10 I am told not to use so much sawdust — "One shovelful is enough and it needn't be piled up." There is no bedding and this is all the dogs have on the concrete floors. The Home Office inspectors turn up. I don't see them look in any of the units I deal with — they just stand outside the dog rooms and chat with the technicians.

Day 15 Another visit from the Home Officers inspectors. This time I see them outside in the corridor — a technician tells me to sweep the floor — I sweep it, but they don't enter my side of the laboratory. I've now seen them arrive twice, but I haven't seen them look at a dog yet.

Day 18 I still feel physically sick with nerves. The Independent Television Commission (ITC) has granted me permission to film

what is going on. equipment is stra It is very bulky a because it is visil bend over.

Day 29 The worst experiments start pies. The test invo dog in a sling and cal used in the sca livers. Two are si being injected, so swell up and on to puppies have 10 b through the day. keep saying that ' young for this typ their veins are too have they got the puppies wriggle, t shaken by the scr feel like a torture soon get their blo

Day 30 I help pre another experim chemical toxicity ese company. A l my department v toxicity of herbic cides. The man I measures out the put it into capsul print out the wei a computer so it What he actually out one dose corr out seven times a next six doses for more quickly and accuracy. This m not getting the ri experiments may

Day 32 Walk int of my puppies, n

od

he camera
ped to my body.
d I am worried
e every time I

lay yet, as the
d on my 32 pup-
ves putting each
njecting a chemi-
ning of human
as they are
e of their legs
of this the
od tests each
ie technicians
iese dogs are too
of experiment as
small" — so why
so young? If the
ey are hit or
ff of their necks. I
I hold them and
l on my hands.

are the doses for
it — it is an agro-
est for a Japan-
of the tests in
re testing for the
les and fungi-
n working with
ompound and I
. He is meant to
it of each dose on
n be checked.
oes is measure
tly, print this
d then make the
he week far
with less
ans the dogs are
it dose: these
e invalid.

my unit and one
nber 1619, has



Short life behind bars . . . Zoe Broughton's undercover filming at an animal testing laboratory was mentally exhausting; one of the hardest jobs was putting the puppies away after their hour's exercise in the small concrete corridors between the rows of cages

half a pint of congealed bloody faeces around his cage. The vet looks at him and says it is all right to continue with the daily doses.

Day 33 I'm finding it hard to watch these needles being repeatedly put into the dogs' legs, over and over. One technician gets so angry when he can't find a vein that he shouts, and quickly jabs the needle in repeatedly, often going right through the vein. Twice I have seen him give up and squirt the rest of the liquid into the bin.

Day 40 I have had plenty of opportunities to read the files. I have been writing notes on scraps of paper and have now established which experiments are in which rooms, who's sponsoring which companies, the compounds being tested and how each is being administered.

Day 45 Today I film the pictures of the animal technicians' pets on the wall —many of them talk non-stop about their lovely pets and then go back to work.

Day 56 We have now finished the experiment with my puppies and today we have to go through the whole blood-testing rigmarole again. I cannot believe the animal technicians' attitudes — they are messing around while trying to take blood. One technician pokes, tickles and fools around with the man he is working with. This makes the process of finding a vein take even longer.

Day 57 They've started the post-mortems on my dogs. Today I carry my favourite puppy along the corridor to what are known as the Death Row cages. I spent last weekend deciding whether to blow this whole project and smuggle her out — but I must think of the future of the other animals here and hope that my film will help all of them.

Day 64 I have just walked out of the laboratory for the last time. I wanted to say goodbye and pet the dogs, but I've found it so hard loving those about to be put down that I kept my distance at the end. I don't think anybody suspects me.

I have followed the whole process with my puppies, from the settling-in weeks, through experiments to the postmortem. As I was leaving, they told me my chores for the next morning — nobody knew I would not be there, but in the edit suite, assembling the evidence of their cruelty.'

Countryside Undercover: It's A Dog's Life will be shown on C4 tonight, 9pm.

EVERY YEAR 180,000


DOGS - 2,600 KILLED AT HLS

Dogs are a popular "tool" for researchers in toxicology (poisoning). In fact HLS has a whole department devoted to Dog Toxicology.

Dogs are particularly bad models for toxicity testing of substances as their digestive systems are almost completely different to ours and are more tolerant to bacteria etc. (e.g. dogs can eat raw meat, rummage about in bins and drink out of puddles etc. without suffering ill effects.) Basically they are completely different.

In simple terms dogs are poisoned by various methods, with different chemicals over a period of days, weeks, months or even years. They die a long, slow, lingering, painful death. Then the weedkiller, washing powder, kitchen cleaner or whatever is sold as safety tested. POINTLESS. Remember DDT was "safety" tested on animals and so were Organophosphates.

Beagles are the favourite breed for vivisectors because of their placid nature and convenient size. It is heartbreaking to see Beagle puppies wagging their tails at their torturers.



This is an HLS promotion picture. This is a system of restraining Beagles which they pioneered!

Sarah Kite (BUAV undercover investigator) witnessed the following cruel and painful test carried out on Beagle dogs at HRC in 1989. It is an eye witness account of one Beagle's agony which thousands have experienced before and since.

"In this case the test substance was administered by a force-feeding technique known as oral-gavage. The animals were restrained and a tube pushed down their throat into their stomach.

The dose of three large syringes was then pumped down the tube. No pain release was given and all the dogs would be killed for autopsy ... It is clear that pain and distress was experienced due to the method and manner in which the dose was administered."

This was not a one off incident at HRC. In 1994 at HRC an experiment describes "The activity of levodropropizine was evaluated after oral administration to the conscious dog."

CATS - 400 KILLED AT HLS

HLS have, in the past, verbally denied having any cats at all on their premises. We know they were lying.

We have a copy of a fax transmission sheet sent from HLS to Hillgrove Farm in 1994 confirming an order for a consignment of cats.

Also on18/2/99, the owner of Hillgrove, Christopher Brown was followed and seen driving to HLS and through the gates to deliver cats/kittens.

Save the Hillgrove Cats phoned Christopher Brown. This is a transcript of the conversation:



Christopher Brown: "Hello, Hillgrove Farm"

Greg Jennings : "Christopher Brown, you have been seen delivering cats to Huntingdon Life Sciences so don't deny it. How can you be happy to let cats go to such a place?"

CB: "I have no problem with HLS. They have been a customer of mine for quite a few years now."

GJ: "Did you see Countryside Undercover where 4 month old beagle puppies were being punched by workers at HLS?"

CB: "I'm happy HLS have cleaned up their act"

GJ: "How do you know that?"

CB: "Because they said so."




The front cover of the Hillgrove Campaign newsletter after Brown was seen taking cats to HLS

Because HLS won't even admit to having cats it is very difficult to find out what is being done to them. We found just one published experiment featuring cats at HLS (because all the

MONKEYS - 1,700 KILLED AT HLS

HLS hold over 1,000 primates in their labs making them one of the largest primate facilities in the world. They produce glossy brochures about the "benefits of a small primate."
Sarah Kite enquired about working in the primate unit whilst at HLS. She was told women were not employed in the primate unit as they were not considered strong enough. She was left thinking just what was going on in there that needed such brute force as women work with primates in zoos etc.
In a 13-week toxicity study on cynomolgus monkeys at HRC, results recorded were: "loss of body weight, vomiting, hair standing on end and increases of chemicals in the liver and kidneys."



HRC has got a specialist inhalation toxicology unit and they see themselves as the leaders in this field. Put simply, inhalation toxicology means holding animals in restraining devices and forcing them, via face masks (as pictured) to inhale poisonous gases and chemicals



IF IT BREATHS HLS KILL IT

At HLS if it moves they experiment on it. Also at HLS 7 800 birds, 10,300 fish and an undisclosed amount of cows, sheep and horses die every year.
Evidence uncovered by the campaign shows that HLS has been or still is involved in experiments with chicks, cattle, horses (including foals), mules and fish.
In one study it describes: "Newly hatched broiler chicks were sprayed with Broilact (a live culture preparation aimed at salmonella in factory farmed poultry) at a commercial hatchery and delivered on the same day to Huntingdon Research Centre." The chicks were studied then killed.
Another study HLS were involved in was on 124 foals. This study was started whilst the mares were still heavily pregnant with the foals. The study talks of "survivors" and "non-survivors." The fact is all the animals imprisoned in HLS are non-survivors.



WELL I NEVER...

In a recent study in Scandinavia researchers from several countries examined dozens of test tube methods to predict toxicity. It was found that a combination of human cells were far superior to experiments with animals for assessing a chemical's lethal dose.
Dr. Ekwall noted: "Prediction of human lethal and toxic doses is poor due to species differences between animals and humans, and the toxic mechanisms of the chemicals cannot be directly predicted using current animal tests."

SHAREHOLDERS IN SUFFERING

Principle Shareholders

Name of shareholder	No. of Ordinary Shares	Percentage Holding
UBS UK Holding Ltd / PDFM Ltd Group 1 Finsbury Avenue London EC2M 2PP	**51,706,751**	**17.77%**
BNY Nomineees Ltd 3 Birchin Lane London EC3V 9BY	**47,432,200**	**16.30%**
Stephens Group Inc	**36,000,000**	**12.37%**
Quilcap Corp / Quilcap International Corp	**34,157,333**	**11.74%**
FMR / Fidelity International Ltd 229 Nether St London N3 1NT	**26,228,734**	**9.01%**
Oracle Partners LP 712 Fifth Avenue 45th Floor New York 10019	**23,333,333**	**8.02%**
Focused Healthcare Partners	**11,000,000**	**3.77%**

The table above shows the Principle Shareholders in HLS. Please write to them and ask how they can invest in animal suffering

THE CARING, SHARING CO-OP?

Co-op:

Can you believe that a company who markets itself on an ethical policy has over one and a half million shares (1.6 million) in HLS? No, neither can we. Apparently the Co-operative Wholesale Society (parent company) try to dance around this by saying the shares are held by the Co-operative Insurance Society and are therefore a different part of the company than the Co-operative Bank. PATHETIC! If they think it stops there they have got another thing coming. Whilst doing NatWest demos why not target the Co-op Bank as well?

The simple fact is the Co-operative Wholesale Society could sell their share in HLS and if they don't then they will suffer the fallout. When writing to them, mention that you don't think it is good enough for them to claim the shares are held by a different part of the company (so as not to give them the chance to waffle on about it).

Write to them at: Co-operative Insurance Society Ltd, Miller St, Manchester M60 0AL. Please pass on to the campaign any replies you receive.

LOCAL DEMOCRACY?

Bradford District Council:

Unbelievable was what I thought when I saw on the shareholder's list that a council had shares in HLS. They have just over 8 million shares in Huntingdon, paid for by the local taxpayers. Will Bradford Council have told the local voters about their shares in HLS? I don't think so! Please write to them at: City of Bradford Metropolitan District Council, Britannia House, Hall Ings, Bradford BD1 1HY

SHAREHOLDERS OF NOTE

The following companies all own shares in HLS. They will try to claim they are investing the money for a third party (true) and that they have no control over where the investment goes (false). Not only is this claim false it is also a pathetic excuse - No bank deals if it does not want to, pure and simple.

Please write to the following asking them how they can justify investing in HLS. Again please try and structure your letter with specific points that do not allow them to write back saying they have "no control...blah,blah". Please pass on any replies.

Clydesdale Bank (22,742,617 shares), 30 St Vincent Place, Glasgow G1 2 HL

Phildrew Nominees Ltd (4,801,134 shares), Triton Court, 14 Finsbury Square, London EC2A 1PD

Midland Bank Trust Company Ltd, Mariner House, Pepys St, London EC3N 4DA

Royal Bank of Scotland, 36 St. Andrews Square, Edinburgh EH2 2VB

Lloyds TSB Group, 71 Lombard St, London, EC3P 3BS

NY Nominees Ltd (10,000,386 shares), 20 Farringdon Rd, London EC1N 3NH

Bank of Scotland, Head Office, The Mound, Edinburgh EH1 1VZ

MSTC Nominees Ltd, PO Box 17447, London, E14 4UE

SCUM ALWAYS RISES TO THE TOP

The following list contains some of the names and addresses of people/companies that we recognised whilst flipping through the list of shareholders:

Mr Roy James Butterworth, The [redacted]
Butterworth is a Director of Agenda Resource Management - They are an employment agency who supply school leavers to work as animal technicians (they supplied workers to Hillgrove farm)

Mr Paul Dilwyn Sanders & Mrs Heather Fraser Sa [redacted]
This pair are also Directors of Agenda Resource Management (see above) Paul Sanders is also a Director of the Laboratory Animal Breeders Association and Bantin & Kingman Universal Ltd (lab primate and Beagle dealers)

Mr Mark Jeremy Matfield & Mrs Ruth Christine Susan Matfield, [redacted]
Mark Matfield runs the Research Defense Society. The RDS are the mouthpiece of the vivisection industry. Fancy seeing Matfield as a shareholder, there are NO depths this man will not plumb to promote animal abuse.

Victoria Fur Traders Ltd, 19/22 Charlotte Rd, London EC2A 3PB
Obviously not happy with skinning animals alive, these fur traders have diversified into an equally obscene form of animal abuse.

Miss Laura Jane Prowse [redacted]
Prowse has made a career out of animal abuse. We first came across her when she worked for Consort Ltd. When we closed them down she worked at Quintiles UK at Ledbury,Herefordshire. (Quintiles is a Contract Research Organisation similar to HLS). Now, it would appear she even has a stake in Huntingdon.

There are about 2,000 shareholders in HLS. Now is the time to name them and shame them. The campaign can offer lists of shareholders on a county by county basis. We have posters (as pictured) left blank at the top so you can fill in a particular person's/company's name and address with a permanent marker pen then flypost in the vicinity of where they live. Let's see if they think investing in animal suffering is such a good idea when all their neighbours know what they do with their money.



FUNDRAISING

We aim to make this campaign even larger and more successful than the Hillgrove campaign. We are not money motivated but to run a successful, hard hitting campaign is very expensive. Please, please, please support us financially in any way you can (remember we are volunteers). If you are part of a local group then why not do stalls to support the campaign?
We also have standing order forms so you can donate monthly, please contact us for a form. Please make chq's/PO's to: "Stop Huntingdon Animal Cruelty" and send to our new address on the front of this newsletter.
The Consort Beagle Campaign, Save the Hillgrove Cats and now Stop Huntingdon Animal Cruelty. Different names, but the same team of people involved, constantly learning, updating and winning.
To avoid confusion and to reinforce the message that these victories are not flukes but come about by dedication and hard work we now have a constant name above all our work. This name is "Closing Animal Abusers Now".
Please remember us in you will because we will never stop fighting for the animals. Written below is the wording you should use if you wish to include us in your will.

"I bequeath unto Closing Animal Abusers Now, C/O Kieran & Co Solicitors, of 31 Clarence Rd, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, the sum of .....free of tax and I direct that the receipt of an authorised officer of the group shall be a good and sufficient discharge of such legacy."

ENTER THE YEAR 2000 AT HLS !

Why spend New Years Eve at a pub or club being charged exorbitant prices when you can be at HLS or a worker's house... FOR FREE? Go on, you know it makes sense! And what a great way of entering the New Millenium by targeting animal abusers when they least like it.
Meet at the main gates of HLS from 7pm onwards on the 31st December


FLYPOSTING - EXPRESS RETURNS

We have got posters ready and waiting for you to flypost. This is an excellent way of us getting the message across. During the Hillgrove campaign we received many phone calls from people who had seen a poster and had never heard of Hillgrove Farm ... many then came on demos.
We have got posters already packed in 25's, 50's, 100's and 200's. Just ring us on 0121 632 6460

FRANKENSTEIN FOODS...
...TESTED AT HLS

Director of Huntingdon Life Sciences, Brian Cass, admitted to the Hunts Post (17/2/99) that HLS is involved with GM food trials.

HLS will be conducting invasive toxicity experiments by force-feeding animals lethal doses of foreign DNA. They will then monitor the inevitable symptoms of toxic poisoning. The animals will then be killed and their vital organs will be examined and compared to another group of organs from animals that were fed a non-GM alternative.
Novartis are one of the biggest players in the biotech industry,



together with Monsanto and Astra. (Novartis own a company called Imutran who are involved in xenotransplantation - using pig organs in humans - Imutran are also a client of HLS).

Novartis also own Hilleshog who have various GMO deliberate release sites of sugar beet in and around Cambridge and Norfolk, and also Lincs, Nottingham, Shropshire and York. Novartis also grow maize, which is resistant to the B-Lactum antibiotic. The Novartis maize is being sold in the UK, against the wish and recommendations of many European Commissions. The maize is being sold as processed food and animal feed, and Austria and Luxembourg have banned the sale of these in their countries because of a threat that the antibiotic resistant gene would transfer to bacteria in the gut of livestock and humans. Some bacteria can transfer genes into other species of bacteria that cause disease.

GM crops threaten natural diversity and are culturally destructive. Companies like AgrEvo hope to produce infertile GM seeds and get them approved and placed on the National Seed List, which enables seeds to be sold in the UK. AgrEvo produce modified crops to tolerate their herbicide. Liberty and Monsanto produce a herbicide, Roundup, which is packaged with Roundup Ready Seeds. This is a strategy for continued growth in herbicide sales.

GM herbicide resistant crops could make weeds develop a resistance to new herbicides, which would lead to a reliance on more agro-chemicals. Much to the favour of companies like HLS who conduct research for the agro-chemical industry.

Claims that research into agro-chemicals is motivated by the need to feed the hungry is utter nonsense. Some 90-95% of soyabean harvests and some 60% of traded maize are not consumed by humans but by livestock. Animal feed is an important focus of genetic research. When people eat animals that have eaten GM feed, those people risk amongst other things eating genes that have transferred into the sort of bacteria that cause disease. These could be in the gut of the animal. When animals do not have the same life expectancy as people, how can animals be used to determine any long term health consequences, and predict allergic reactions in humans - a major concern surrounding GM foods?
Test sites are to assess commercial viability, they are neither designed to evaluate safety or to answer questions about contamination of the wider environment. These sites can greatly effect wildlife, birds and small mammals and their source of food like insects through the loss of wild plants - weeds to the multinationals. GM crops could wipe out entire species.
News of the Co-Operative Wholesale Society (CWS) pulling out of field scale trials at the end of March this year is good news. A spokesperson from CWS commented: "Our discussions with various parties led us to conclude that the design of this year's trials would do little to allay current environment and consumer concerns."

It does seem strange however, that the CWS will pull out of field trials of GMOs but are indirectly still involved in GMOs because they are the parent company of the Co-Operative Insurance Society who are a large shareholder in HLS.

WHAT YOU CAN DO...

1. Come to the demos! (See Diary Dates below) Let us never forget that these demos save lives! Each and every demo, vigil etc. will contribute to the closure of HLS.



2. Ring HLS on 01480 892000. Remember the pressure Brown was put under by people ringing morning, noon and night. Now is the time to turn that pressure onto Huntingdon. To block the telephone lines at HLS is even more important. Brown had a monopoly so he could be quite relaxed about missed calls. The same is NOT true of HLS. Every missed called could mean lost business. Lost business to an ailing company is a disaster. So ring, ring and ring again between 9am-5pm.

3. Ring NatWest especially on 0800 505050, 0800 004400 and 0800 200400 (See page 5 for more NatWest phone numbers).

5. Flypost Demo Posters and Shareholders Posters (See page 16).



4. Write to NatWest - J.I. Hartley (Head of Customer Relations), FREEPOST, London EC3 3JL.

6. Write to these local newspapers highlighting the obscene cruelty inside Huntingdon's labs: It is a battle for the hearts and minds of the people living around Huntingdon and this is part of this battle:

Peterborough Herald & Post,
11 Cross St,
Peterborough,
Cambridgeshire,
PE1 1XD

East Anglian Daily Times,
30 Lower Brook St,
Ipswich,
Suffolk,
IP4 1AL

Peterborough Evening Telegraph,
57 Priestgate,
Peterborough,
Cambridgeshire
PE1 1JW

The Town Crier / Huntingdon Town Crier,
Priory House,
Priory Lane,
St. Neots,
Huntingdon,
Cambridgeshire
PE19 2BH

Cambridge Times,
51 High St,
March,
Cambridgeshire
PE15 9JJ

Cambridge Evening News,
7 Crown St,
St Ives,
Huntingdon,
Cambridgeshire
PE17 4EB

The Hunts Post,
30 High St,
Huntingdon,
Cambridgeshire
PE18 6AQ

Cambridge Town Crier,
Unit 1,
Cambridge Technopark,
645 Newmarket Rd,
Cambridge
CB5 8PB


DIARY DATES

Friday November 26th	**London Day of Action against NatWest (meet 11am, Liverpool St Station, London-Bishopsgate exit) For details ring 01223 476 596. On the day ring 0171 278 3068.**
Saturday November 27th	**National Day of Action against NatWest - this is the day you should demonstrate at your local NatWest branch from 9am onwards.**
Saturday 11th December	**NATIONAL DEMO AT HLS - Meet at the Main Gates 12 noon.**
Friday December 31st	**New Years Eve at HLS - Meet at the main gates from 7pm.**


MERCHANDISE



Factsheet



Petition



HLS Leaflet



NatWest Leaflet



Front


T-shirt + Hooded Top



Back



NatWest Sticker



Video




HLS Badge



NatWest Badge



Shareholder Poster



Demo Poster

ORDER FORM

Every item on this page will be supplied free of charge except for T-shirts which cost £5 and Hooded Sweatshirts which cost £15.

Item	Quantity
HLS Leaflets	
NatWest Leaflets	
HLS Factsheets	
Petitions	
Shareholder Posters	
Demo Posters	
NatWest Poster (as leaflet but A4)	
Large NatWest Poster (as leaflet but A2)	
NatWest Stickers	
HLS Badges	
NatWest Badges	
Videos	
T-shirts (£5)	
Hooded Tops (£15)	
DONATION	£
TOTAL COST	£

Name.....

Address.....

.....

Post Code.....

Tel.....



WITH US ALL FIGHTING FOR THE ANIMALS, THE FUTURE'S BRIGHT...

...BUT NOT FOR HLS.